Teacher:	Bickle, Dunn, Griffin	Subject:	ELA	Course:	Literature and Composition II	Grade:	10	Date(s):	September 22-26
Stan	dard: 10.T.T.3: Eva	luate and apply	argumentativ	e techniques to enhance	e text's appeal to audie	ences.			
							es used to pre	sent and design content	and their associated
	<mark>implications on m</mark>								
•				eals; identify fallacies in a					
•				strategically to enhance		udiences.			
40.7		•		es or appeals strategica	•				
10.T.				on a wide variety of text					
9				isciplinary, personal, or t			I <mark>SION.</mark>		
•				d language on a text's re			race and targ	et a specific audience us	sing multiple, placely
•	identifiable featur			nodai texts and/or prese	manon mar serve mor	e man one pui	pose and targe	et a specific addiefice de	sing multiple, cleany
10 T				pectives influence texts a	and how circumstance	s shane their o	creation		
10.11				nces on texts in audiovis			or outlorn.		
_							ss time and th	e associated implication	s text creation.
								lemic and individual topi	
10.T.				ıctures and style to shap				·	
•	10.T.SS.1.a: Ana	llyze and evaluat	te the effectiv	veness of a text's organiz	zational structure to me	eet the needs a	and expectatio	ns of the target audience	e or serve a specific
	<mark>purpose.</mark>								
•				n appropriate structure					
•				ts using varied transition					
•		, ,		<u> </u>				on that guides the focus	•
							ns, and/or eve	nts; and a memorable co	onclusion.
10.1.				oduce intended effects in					
								eaning, tone, or mood in	
9			s, figurative is	anguage, rnetoricai iangi	uage, and/or rnetorical	appeals to cre	eate a variety o	of effects, as appropriate	to intended purpose
	and target audier								
•	• 10.T.SS.2.c: Use	formal style who	en speaking	or writing to establish cre	edibility and tone.				
Asse	essment: □ Qui	z □U	nit Test	□ Proiect □ L	ab X Essa	v Response	☐ None	9	

Teacher: Bickle, Dunn, Subject: ELA Course: Literature and Grade: 10 Date(s): September 22-26

Composition II

Griffin

	Pre-Teaching	Activation of Learning (5 min)	Focused Instruction (10 min) *I DO	Guided Instruction (10 min) *WE DO	Collaborative Learning (10 min) *Y'ALL DO	Independent Learning (10 min) *YOU DO	Closing (5 min)
		Do Now Quick Write* Think/Pair/Share Polls Notice/Wonder Number Talks Engaging Video Open-Ended Question	Think Aloud Visuals Demonstration Analogies* Worked Examples Nearpod Activity Mnemonic Devices*	Socratic Seminar * Call/Response Probing Questions Graphic Organizer Nearpod Activity Digital Whiteboard	Jigsaw* Discussions* Expert Groups Labs Stations Think/Pair/Share Create Visuals Gallery Walk	Written Response* Digital Portfolio Presentation Canvas Assignment Choice Board Independent Project Portfolio	Group Discussion Exit Ticket 3-2-1 Parking Lot Journaling* Nearpod
Monday 🔇	I am reviewing my understanding of the essential parts of argumentative writing. I am reviewing the excerpt from Texas v. Johnson Majority Opinion. I can identify the essential parts to an argumentative text. I can identify rhetorical devices and appeals in an argumentative text. I can identify the evidence in an argumentative text.	Do Now: Note Brennan's metaphorical description of free speech as a "joust of principles" in paragraph 1. Another common one is the "marketplace of ideas." What other metaphors could be used to describe free speech? Think of other comparisons, analogies, or similes in addition to metaphors. [Option 1] Do Now: Answer the following: • An idea is implicit if the author assumes readers will understand it even though it is not stated directly. How do Brennan use the state's implicit assumption to support his argument? • Confirming or stating again that something is correct would be reaffirmation. Why does Justice Brennan make a reaffirmation (paragraph 4)? • Justice Brennans says the nation's ability to return to normal after a disturbing event is proof of its resilience. Explain the use of resilience in paragraph 4. [Option 2]	Modeling w/ Think Aloud: Analyze the first sentence in paragraph 2 with special attention to the word "however." Probing Question: What two opposing ideas are communicated in the sentence? [Option 1] Instruction: Gallery Walk and Questions [Option 2]	Guided Reading w/ Probing Question: Mark the claim in paragraph 3 about the consequences of the decision. How does Brennan use a court case from the past to support his claim? [Option 1] Call/Response: To check for understanding, review the assigned questions on page 70. Rephrase each question in your words. [Option 2]	Read Aloud w/ Guided Notes/Annotations: With a partner, read and annotate/note the following: • In paragraph 1, mark the metaphor. Explain how this metaphor sets the stage for his • In paragraph 2, mark/note Brennan's opinion about the government's role in the treatment of the flag. Identify the two important principles Brennan tries balance in his opinion. • Mark/note the claim in paragraph 3 about the consequences of the decision. Explain how Brennan uses the court case from the past to support his claim. [Option 1] Gallery Walk: In groups of 3-4, answer the questions posted around the room. After discussing, write your answers in complete sentences. [HMH pg. 70, questions 1, 2, 3, and 4] [Option 2]	n/a	One Sentence Summary: Summarize Brennan's argument and evidence in one sentence. Use the sentence starter below to help you if you're stuck. Using

Teacher: Bickle, Dunn, Subject: ELA Course: Literature and Grade: 10 Date(s): September 22-26

Griffin Composition II

	Pre-Teaching	Activation of Learning (5 min)	Focused Instruction (10 min) *I DO	Guided Instruction (10 min) *WE DO	Collaborative Learning (10 min) *Y'ALL DO	Independent Learning (10 min) *YOU DO	Closing (5 min)
		Do Now Quick Write* Think/Pair/Share Polls Notice/Wonder Number Talks Engaging Video Open-Ended Question	Think Aloud Visuals Demonstration Analogies* Worked Examples Nearpod Activity Mnemonic Devices*	Socratic Seminar * Call/Response Probing Questions Graphic Organizer Nearpod Activity Digital Whiteboard	Jigsaw* Discussions* Expert Groups Labs Stations Think/Pair/Share Create Visuals Gallery Walk	Written Response* Digital Portfolio Presentation Canvas Assignment Choice Board Independent Project Portfolio	Group Discussion Exit Ticket 3-2-1 Parking Lot Journaling* Nearpod
Tuesday	I am identifying the essential parts of argumentative writings. I am reading an excerpt from Texas v. Johnson Dissent. I am learning about Latin/Greek roots and affixes. I can identify the essential parts to an argumentative text. I can identify rhetorical devices and appeals in an	Engaging Video: Latin and Greek Roots and Affixes	Instruction: Review Argumentative Techniques Instruction: Guided Annotations Demonstration: Reading w/ Annotations [pars. 1-2, HMH 67]	Probing Questions: Continue annotations during the read aloud following the teacher's prompts. [pars. 3-4, HMH 68]	Think/Pair/Share: Read paragraphs 5-7 and annotate. After the given time, compare your annotations with a partner.	Assessment Practice: Complete questions on page 69	Exit Ticket: The vocabulary words compulsion, reaffirmation, and resilience have Latin roots. When you know the meaning of a root, you can determine the meanings of various words derived from it by examining their structures. Identify one new word that belongs to the word family. Then, write a definition that incorporates the meaning of the Latin root, identify the part of speech, and use the word in a sentence that reflects the meaning. • Firmus (meaning strong) • Resilire (means to leap back) • Pulsus (to drive; to force)

Teacher: Bickle, Dunn, Subject: ELA Course: Literature and Grade: 10 Date(s): September 22-26

Composition II

Griffin

	Pre-Teaching	Activation of Learning (5 min)	Focused Instruction (10 min) *I DO	Guided Instruction (10 min) *WE DO	Collaborative Learning (10 min) *Y'ALL DO	Independent Learning (10 min) *YOU DO	Closing (5 min)
	 ▶ Learning Target ▶ Success Criteria 1 ▶ Success Criteria 2 	Do Now Quick Write* Think/Pair/Share Polls Notice/Wonder Number Talks Engaging Video Open-Ended Question	Think Aloud Visuals Demonstration Analogies* Worked Examples Nearpod Activity Mnemonic Devices*	Socratic Seminar * Call/Response Probing Questions Graphic Organizer Nearpod Activity Digital Whiteboard	Jigsaw* Discussions* Expert Groups Labs Stations Think/Pair/Share Create Visuals Gallery Walk	Written Response* Digital Portfolio Presentation Canvas Assignment Choice Board Independent Project Portfolio	Group Discussion Exit Ticket 3-2-1 Parking Lot Journaling* Nearpod
Wednesday	I am identifying the essential parts of argumentative writings. I am reading an excerpt from Texas v. Johnson Dissent. I am learning about Latin/Greek roots and affixes. I can identify the essential parts to an argumentative text. I can identify rhetorical devices and appeals in an argumentative text. I can identify the evidence in an argumentative text. I can identify the evidence in an argumentative text. I can identify 5 Latin/Greek roots and affixes.	Think/Pair/Share: Answer the following questions. Once the timer stops, share your answers with a partner. • Why does Rehnquist discriminate between the burning of the flag and other forms of protest? • Why does Brennan think it is best for the government not to intervene in a protest involving flag burning? • Which argument seems more rational? Why?	Instruction: Rhetorical Analysis Using SPACECAT Demonstration: SPACECAT Graphic Organizer	n/a	Chunked Think/Pair/Share: With a partner, pick a text. In each 2-person group, complete a SPACECAT graphic organizer for each Supreme Court opinion following the class prompts. When the organizer is complete, compare your graphic organizer with your partner's. Based on each author's use of rhetorical devices, who do you think has the strongest argument?	n/a	Group Discussion/ Discussion Board Post: Based on each author's use of rhetorical devices, who do you think has the strongest argument?

Teacher: Bickle, Dunn, Subject: ELA Course: Literature and Grade: 10 Date(s): September 22-26

Griffin Composition II

		Pre-Teaching	Activation of Learning (5 min)	Focused Instruction (10 min) *I DO	Guided Instruction (10 min) *WE DO	Collaborative Learning (10 min) *Y'ALL DO	Independent Learning (10 min) *YOU DO	Closing (5 min)
			Do Now Quick Write* Think/Pair/Share Polls Notice/Wonder Number Talks Engaging Video Open-Ended Question	Think Aloud Visuals Demonstration Analogies* Worked Examples Nearpod Activity Mnemonic Devices*	Socratic Seminar * Call/Response Probing Questions Graphic Organizer Nearpod Activity Digital Whiteboard	Jigsaw* Discussions* Expert Groups Labs Stations Think/Pair/Share Create Visuals Gallery Walk	Written Response* Digital Portfolio Presentation Canvas Assignment Choice Board Independent Project Portfolio	Group Discussion Exit Ticket 3-2-1 Parking Lot Journaling* Nearpod
Thursday	⊚	I am learning to analyze an argument. I can evaluate a text's use of evidence. I can identify rhetorical devices and appeals. I can evaluate a text's use of rhetorical devices and appeals.	Prediction: Read the title, the first and last paragraphs, and skim the topic sentences for "The American Flag Stands for Tolerance." How might the author put the controversy about the American flag into perspective? What might people arguing over flag burning have lost sight of? [HMH pg. 77]	Instruction: Guided Annotations Demonstration: Reading w/ Annotations [par. 1, HMH 77]	Probing Questions: Continue annotations during the read aloud following the teacher's prompts [pars. 2-3, HMH 77-78]	Think/Pair/Share: Read paragraphs 4-9 and annotate. After the given time, compare your annotations with a partner.	n/a	Group Discussion: Does the author agree or disagree with the Court's ruling? What's the author's attitude?
Friday	⊚	I am learning to analyze an argument. I can write a strong analytical paragraph. I can develop a claim. I can use evidence to support a claim.	Assessment Practice: Complete the assessment practice on page 79 [HMH pg. 79]	Instruction: Writing Developed Paragraphs Visuals: Analyze the sample CER paragraph	Graphic Organizer: Complete the CER graphic organizer if you need extra help with writing developed paragraphs.	n/a	Written Response: Being sure to include evidence from the text, answer either question 2, 4, 5, or 6 on page 80 in your textbook.	Exit Ticket: Once an idea is accepted as dogma, it is not questioned. What are the possible dangers In imposing or following dogma.